

An example of Brauer–Manin obstruction to weak approximation from a prime with good reduction

Margherita Pagano

Leiden University

14 May 2021

Let k be a number field and Ω_k be the set of places of k . Let X be a smooth, proper, geometrically integral k -variety. We are interested in understanding the set $X(k)$ of k -points on X . For every $\nu \in \Omega_k$ we have

$$X(k) \hookrightarrow X(k_\nu).$$

Hence,

$$X(k) \hookrightarrow \prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu).$$

Question: what does the image of $X(k)$ in $\prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu)$ look like?

Definition

We say that X satisfies **weak approximation** if the image

$$X(k) \hookrightarrow \prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu)$$

is dense.

(Counter)example

- 1 The projective spaces \mathbb{P}_k^n satisfy weak approximation.
- 2 $Q \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^n$ smooth projective quadric satisfies weak approximation.
- 3 Selmer's example: the projective variety defined by the equation $3x^3 + 4y^3 + 5z^3 = 0$ has a \mathbb{Q}_ν -point for every place $\nu \in \Omega_{\mathbb{Q}}$ but does not admit a rational point.

Manin has shown that it is possible to use the Brauer group to build a **closed** subset $\left(\prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu)\right)^{\text{Br}} \subseteq \prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu)$ that contains $X(k)$. Hence,

$$X(k) \subseteq \overline{X(k)} \subseteq \left(\prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu)\right)^{\text{Br}} \subseteq \prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu).$$

Idea: $\left(\prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu)\right)^{\text{Br}}$ is easier to describe than $\overline{X(k)}$.

Brauer–Manin obstruction to weak approximation

We say that there is a **Brauer–Manin obstruction to weak approximation** on X if $\left(\prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu)\right)^{\text{Br}} \subsetneq \prod_{\nu \in \Omega_k} X(k_\nu)$.

The evaluation map

Let $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Br}(X) := H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$, then for every place $\nu \in \Omega_k$ we get an induced map, called the **evaluation map**

$$\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}} : X(k_{\nu}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(k_{\nu})$$

Places involved in the obstruction

We say that ν **plays a role** in the Brauer–Manin obstruction to weak approximation if there exists $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Br}(X)$ such that

$$\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}} : X(k_{\nu}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(k_{\nu})$$

is non-constant.

Let X be such that $\text{Pic}(X \times_k \bar{k})$ is torsion-free and finitely generated. Let $S \subseteq \Omega_k$ be a finite set of places containing the archimedean places and the places of bad reduction for X .

Question: is it true that the only places that can play a role in the Brauer–Manin obstruction to weak approximation are contained in S ?

The answer of Bright and Newton

Good ordinary varieties

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p and Y a smooth, proper k -variety. Let $B_Y^q := \text{im}(d : \Omega_Y^{q-1} \rightarrow \Omega_Y^q)$. We say that Y is **ordinary** if $H^n(Y, B_Y^q) = 0$ for every n, q .

Theorem [Bright-Newton 2020]

Let X be a smooth, proper variety over a number field k with $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2) \neq 0$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime at which X has good ordinary reduction, with residue characteristic p . Then there exist a finite extension L/k , a prime \mathfrak{p}' lying over \mathfrak{p} , and an element $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Br}(X_L)\{p\}$ such that the evaluation map

$$\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}} : X(L_{\mathfrak{p}'}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(L_{\mathfrak{p}'})$$

is non-constant.

The case of K3 surfaces

Definition

A **K3** surface over a number field k is a smooth, geometrically integral, 2-dimensional k -variety such that

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$$

- The Picard group $\text{Pic}(X \times_k \bar{k})$ is always torsion-free and finitely generated.
- $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2) \neq 0$.
- If \mathfrak{p} is a place of good reduction satisfying $e_{\mathfrak{p}} < p - 1$, then $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}} : X(k_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is constant (Bright–Newton).

Remark

If we start with a K3 surface defined over the rational numbers, the only place with good reduction that can play a role in the Brauer–Manin obstruction to weak approximation is 2.

Example

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Q}}^3$ be the K3 surface defined by the equation

$$x^3y + y^3z + z^3w + w^3x + xyzw = 0. \quad (1)$$

X has good reduction at the prime 2 and the following theorem holds true.

Theorem

The class of the quaternion algebra

$$\mathcal{A} = \left(\frac{z^3 + w^2x + xyz}{x^3}, -\frac{z}{x} \right) \in \text{Br}(k(X))$$

defines an element in $\text{Br}(X)$. The evaluation map $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}} : X(\mathbb{Q}_2) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\mathbb{Q}_2)$ is non-constant. Finally, $X(\mathbb{Q})$ is not dense in $X(\mathbb{Q}_2)$.

Main steps in the proof

- The purity theorem for the Brauer group to show that the quaternion algebra \mathcal{A} defines an element in $\text{Br}(X)$.
- Find two elements $x_1, x_2 \in X(\mathbb{Q}_2)$ such that $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}}(x_1) \neq \text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}}(x_2)$.
- To show that $X(\mathbb{Q})$ is not dense in $X(\mathbb{Q}_2)$ we prove that for every place ν different from 2 the evaluation map $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}} : X(\mathbb{Q}_{\nu}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\mathbb{Q}_{\nu})$ is constant and trivial.

Transcendental nature of \mathcal{A}

Let $\text{Br}_1(X)$ be the algebraic Brauer group of X (i.e. $\text{Br}_1(X) = \ker(\text{Br}(X) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X \times_k \bar{k}))$). Colliot-Thélène and Skorobogatov have proven that for every element $\mathcal{B} \in \text{Br}_1(X)$ and every finite field extension k/\mathbb{Q}_p , where p is a prime with good reduction $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{B}} : X(k) \rightarrow \text{Br}(k)$ has to be constant. Hence, $\mathcal{A} \notin \text{Br}_1(X)$ (i.e. \mathcal{A} is a **transcendental** element of $\text{Br}(X)$).

Construction of the quaternion algebra \mathcal{A}

Let Y be the K3 surface over \mathbb{F}_2 defined by the reduction modulo 2 of the equation defining X .

- ① $H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^2)$ has just one non-zero element ω

$$\omega = \frac{d\left(\frac{z^3 + w^2x + xyz}{x^3}\right)}{\frac{z^3 + w^2x + xyz}{x^3}} \wedge \frac{d\left(\frac{z}{x}\right)}{\frac{z}{x}} = \frac{df}{f} \wedge \frac{dg}{g}.$$

- ② Crucial step:

$$\mathrm{Br}(k(X)) \ni \mathcal{A} := \left(\frac{z^3 + w^2x + xyz}{x^3}, -\frac{z}{x} \right) = (\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$$

defines an element in $\mathrm{Br}(X)$.

The isomorphism of Bloch and Kato

- Let $F = \mathbb{F}_2(Y)$. Then the subgroup of **logarithmic q -forms** $\Omega_{F,\log}^q \subseteq \Omega_F^q$ is the subgroup generated by elements of the form

$$\frac{dy_1}{y_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dy_q}{y_q}, \text{ where } y_i \in F^\times.$$

- Let X_2 be the base change of X to \mathbb{Q}_2 and $K = k(X_2)$ its function field. Bloch and Kato define a decreasing filtration $\{U^m H^q(K, \mu_p^{\otimes q})\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ on $H^q(K, \mu_p^{\otimes q})$ such that the following theorem holds true.

Theorem [Bloch–Kato]

There exists an isomorphism

$$\rho_0 : \Omega_{F,\log}^q \oplus \Omega_{F,\log}^{q-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{H^q(K, \mu_p^{\otimes q})}{U^1 H^q(K, \mu_p^{\otimes q})} =: \text{gr}^0$$

Suppose that K contains a primitive p -root of unity, then we get an isomorphism between $\mu_p^{\otimes 2}$ and μ_p . Hence,

$$\rho_0 : \Omega_{F,\log}^2 \oplus \Omega_{F,\log}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{H^2(K, \mu_p^{\otimes 2})}{U^1 H^2(K, \mu_p^{\otimes 2})} \simeq \frac{\text{Br}(K)[p]}{U^1 \text{Br}(K)[p]}$$

In particular, it maps $\frac{dy_1}{y_1} \wedge \frac{dy_2}{y_2}$ to the class of the quaternion algebra $(\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2)$, where \tilde{y}_i is any lift of y_i to K .

Back to the quaternion algebra \mathcal{A}

- ① $\omega \in H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^2)$ is of the form

$$\omega = \frac{d\left(\frac{z^3 + w^2x + xyz}{x^3}\right)}{\frac{z^3 + w^2x + xyz}{x^3}} \wedge \frac{d\left(\frac{z}{x}\right)}{\frac{z}{x}} \in \Omega_{F, \log}^2.$$

- ② $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K$, hence K contains a primitive 2-root of unity.
Moreover, we have

$$\rho_0 : \Omega_{F, \log}^2 \oplus \Omega_{F, \log}^1 \rightarrow \frac{\text{Br}(K)[2]}{U^1 \text{Br}(K)[2]}$$
$$(\omega, 0) \mapsto [\mathcal{A}] = \left[\left(\frac{z^3 + w^2x + xyz}{x^3}, -\frac{z}{x} \right) \right].$$

Hence, the image of \mathcal{A} in $\text{Br}(K)[2]$ does not lie in $U^1 \text{Br}(K)[2]$.

The Evaluation filtration

Bright and Newton define the following filtration on $\mathrm{Br}(X_2)$

$$\mathrm{Ev}_n \mathrm{Br}(X_2) := \{ \mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Br}(X_2) \mid \forall L/\mathbb{Q}_2, \forall P \in X_2(L), \\ \mathrm{ev}_{\mathcal{A}} \text{ is constant on } B(P, e_{L/\mathbb{Q}_2} n + 1) \}.$$

Theorem [Bright–Newton 2020]

$$\mathrm{Ev}_0 \mathrm{Br}(X_2)[2] = \{ \mathcal{B} \in \mathrm{Br}(X_2)[2] \mid \mathcal{B} \in U^2 \mathrm{Br}(K)[2] \}.$$

Hence $\mathcal{A} \notin U^1 \mathrm{Br}(K)[2]$ implies that $\mathcal{A} \notin \mathrm{Ev}_0 \mathrm{Br}(X_2)[2]$.

The two main ideas in this example were the following:

- 1 Use Bloch and Kato isomorphism together with the results of Bright and Newton to deduce that if $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Br}(X)[2]$ is such that $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{A}} : X(\mathbb{Q}_2) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\mathbb{Q}_2)$ is non-constant, then \mathcal{A} should come from the only non-zero element $\omega \in H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^2)$.
- 2 Find an element \mathcal{A} in $\text{Br}(K)[2]$ whose image in $\frac{\text{Br}(K)[2]}{U^{\text{I}} \text{Br}(K)[2]}$ is $\rho_0(\omega, 0)$ and that defines also an element in $\text{Br}(X)$.

Thank you for the attention!